

FILED

1 **EISENBERG RAIZMAN THURSTON & WONG LLP**  
Adam J. Thurston (SBN 162636)  
2 Melissa B. Bonfiglio (SBN 223172)  
10880 Wilshire Boulevard, Eleventh Floor  
3 Los Angeles, California 90025  
Telephone: (310) 445-4400  
4 Facsimile: (310) 445-4410  
Email: [athurston@ertwllp.com](mailto:athurston@ertwllp.com)  
5 [mbonfiglio@ertwllp.com](mailto:mbonfiglio@ertwllp.com)

2008 JUN -4 PM 3:36  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF.  
LOS ANGELES

BY \_\_\_\_\_

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
The Biodegradable Products Institute

7  
8  
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 **THE BIODEGRADABLE PRODUCTS**  
12 **INSTITUTE, a Delaware corporation,**

13 Plaintiff,

14  
15 v.

16  
17  
18 **BRANDON LE, an individual;**  
19 **STANLEY WELLS, an individual; VAN**  
20 **LE, an individual; and DOES 1-10,**  
inclusive,

21 Defendants.  
22  
23  
24

Case No. **CV08-03661**

FMC  
VBK

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND  
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR:**

- 25 (1) **FEDERAL TRADEMARK  
INFRINGEMENT [LANHAM  
ACT § 32(1)]**
- 26 (2) **FEDERAL COUNTERFEITING  
[LANHAM ACT § 32(1) AND  
34(d)(1)(B)]**
- 27 (3) **TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT  
UNDER STATE LAW [CAL.  
BUS. & PROF. CODE § 14320]**
- 28 (4) **TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT  
[STATE LAW]**
- (5) **UNFAIR COMPETITION  
[STATE LAW]**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

EISENBERG RAIZMAN THURSTON & WONG LLP  
10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1 Plaintiff The Biodegradable Products Institute, by and through its undersigned  
2 attorneys, for its complaint against defendants Brandon Le, Stanley Wells and Van  
3 Le, alleges as follows:

4 **NATURE OF ACTION**

5 1. This is an action for trademark infringement, counterfeiting, unfair  
6 competition and other relief arising under the trademark laws of the United States,  
7 specifically 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.* (the "Lanham Act"), and the statutes and  
8 common law of the State of California.

9 **PLAINTIFF**

10 2. Plaintiff The Biodegradable Products Institute ("BPI") is a corporation  
11 organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of  
12 business located at 331 West 57th Street, Suite 415, New York, New York 10019.

13 3. BPI is an association comprised of individuals and groups from the  
14 government, industry and academia that promotes the use and recycling of  
15 biodegradable polymeric materials. One of BPI's key programs is an international  
16 labeling program. Ever since the introduction of "biodegradable plastics" fifteen  
17 years ago, there has been confusion and skepticism about biodegradable products,  
18 primarily as a result of plastic products that did not actually biodegrade as expected.  
19 BPI's labeling program is designed to address that confusion by building credibility  
20 and recognition for products bearing BPI's "Compostable" trademark (the  
21 "Compostable Mark"). BPI-certified products compost quickly, completely and  
22 safely without leaving any plastic residues. BPI's strict testing standards ensure that  
23 only truly biodegradable products are certified as BPI-approved and only BPI-  
24 approved products, which are processed through BPI's application and testing  
25 procedure, are licensed to use BPI's well-recognized Compostable Mark.

26 **DEFENDANTS**

27 4. According to the Internet website <http://ecovisionalt.com>, Defendant  
28 Brandon Le is the Chief Executive Officer of Eco Vision Alternatives, LLC

EISENBERG | RAIZMAN | THURSTON | & WONG LLP  
10880 Wilshire Blvd., Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1 (“EcoVision”). According to the EcoVision website, Defendant Stanley Wells is the  
2 Regional Marketing Director of EcoVision, and Defendant Van Le is the Chief  
3 Operating Officer of EcoVision. However, upon information and belief, BPI alleges  
4 that EcoVision does not exist as a legal entity, and is merely a fictitious business  
5 name for its principals, Brandon Le, Stanley Wells and Van Le. Accordingly, such  
6 individual principals are personally liable for conduct and business performed under  
7 the EcoVision name. Brandon Le, Stanley Wells and Van Le are collectively  
8 referred to herein as “Defendants”.

9         5. The EcoVision website indicates that it is based in Burlingame,  
10 California, but the California Secretary of State’s website does not show it as a  
11 company registered in California. A computerized search of business name filings  
12 nationwide does not reveal any record of a business called EcoVision Alternatives  
13 LLC associated with the individual Defendants.

14         6. Upon information and belief, Defendants, using the EcoVision name,  
15 are conducting a business that purports to sell certified biodegradable plastic bags,  
16 plates, cups, utensils and food containers. Upon information and belief, Defendants  
17 have advertised, promoted, distributed and/or sold food containers and bags in the  
18 United States, and particularly in this judicial district, that infringe on BPI’s  
19 Compostable Mark.

20         7. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, partner,  
21 associate, agent, employee, or otherwise, of the defendants named in this complaint  
22 as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to BPI, which therefore sues such  
23 defendants by such fictitious names. BPI will amend this complaint to show the true  
24 names and capacities of the Doe defendants when it has ascertained the same. BPI is  
25 informed and believes and on that basis alleges that at all relevant times Does 1  
26 through 10, inclusive, are responsible in some manner for the conduct herein alleged  
27 and proximately caused BPI’s damages. BPI is informed and believes and on that  
28 basis alleges that at all relevant times Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of

EISENBERG | RAIZMAN | THURSTON | & WONG LLP  
10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1 them, were the agents of, and/or were acting in concert with, each of the remaining  
2 Defendants and, in doing the things alleged, were acting within the scope of such  
3 agency. Any reference to any of the named defendants herein shall also constitute a  
4 reference to the Doe defendants, inclusive.

5 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6 8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to  
7 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 because BPI's claims arise under the trademark laws of  
8 the United States. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28  
9 U.S.C. §§ 1338(b) and 1367 over BPI's claims that arise under the laws of the State  
10 of California.

11 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they  
12 transacts business in the State of California.

13 10. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391  
14 because a substantial part of the acts complained of herein occurred in this judicial  
15 district and each party is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.

16 **BPI'S TRADEMARK**

17 11. BPI's distinctive and famous Compostable Mark is the cornerstone of  
18 its international labeling program. BPI has been using the Compostable Mark in  
19 commerce since 2001, and it was registered with the United States Patent and  
20 Trademark Office in 2003 for use on polymeric products such as plastic bags,  
21 utensils and takeout food containers. The Compostable Mark, as presented in BPI's  
22 U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2783960 is shown below:



EISENBERG | RAIZMAN | THURSTON | & WONG LLP

10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1 BPI-certified products have been using the Compostable Mark for approximately  
2 seven years. During this period, BPI has committed substantial time, effort and  
3 money to developing a widely respected reputation as a leader in environmentally  
4 friendly plastics certification. BPI has a high profile among consumers,  
5 manufacturers, distributors, and municipal and industrial composters and it  
6 continuously works to educate the public about the benefits and availability of  
7 biodegradable products. It works closely with other organizations to further the use  
8 and recovery of biodegradable materials, and it has also devoted a significant  
9 amount of time and money to researching and harmonizing the standards applied to  
10 biodegradable products. BPI-certified products bear the well-known and easily  
11 recognized Compostable Mark, and BPI-certified products are sold both nationally  
12 and internationally.

13 **THE STRENGTH OF**  
14 **BPI'S COMPOSTABLE MARK**


15 12. Since at least as early as 2001, BPI has been continuously and  
16 exclusively certifying products that meet its stringent testing standards as BPI-  
17 approved. BPI-approved products are the only products licensed to use BPI's  
18 Compostable Mark. Through BPI's extensive promotion of the Compostable Mark,  
19 consumers, merchants, composters, government officials and waste haulers have  
20 come to easily recognize that products bearing the Compostable Mark are certified  
21 by BPI and therefore will biodegrade quickly and safely when composted in a well-  
22 run composting facility. Virtually all BPI-certified products consistently and  
23 prominently display the Compostable Mark.

24 13. For approximately seven years, BPI has widely advertised and  
25 promoted the Compostable Mark. BPI promotes the Compostable Mark through  
26 advertising in trade publications, speaking engagements, its website, and other  
27 educational and promotional activities. In addition, numerous newspapers and  
28 magazines have covered BPI and its Compostable Mark. As a result, BPI has built a

1 valuable reputation and substantial goodwill, and BPI-certified products bearing the  
2 Compostable Mark have achieved a high level of commercial success.

3 **DEFENDANTS' INFRINGEMENT**

4 14. Defendants have recently begun to advertise, promote, distribute and/or  
5 sell plastic bags throughout the United States, including within the State of  
6 California, that prominently display an identical copy of the Compostable Mark (the  
7 "Infringing Bags"). However, Defendants have never applied to BPI's labeling  
8 program and the Infringing Bags are not BPI-certified. An example of the  
9 Infringing Bags, with BPI's Compostable Mark prominently displayed in the middle  
10 of the bag, is shown below:



25 15. Moreover, the EcoVision website, <http://ecovisionalt.com>, falsely  
26 represents that its products are BPI-certified. The "Environment" page of the  
27 EcoVision website prominently states that its food service items (food containers,  
28 plates, cups and utensils) are "BPI Certified (Biodegradable Products Institute)." A

EISENBERG | RAIZMAN | THORSTON | & WONG LLP  
10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1 true and correct copy of the "Environment" page from the EcoVision website is  
2 attached hereto as Exhibit A.

3 16. Defendants have engaged in intentional infringement and counterfeiting  
4 of BPI's registered mark by placing BPI's Compostable Mark on their Infringing  
5 Bags, when in fact, BPI has not certified those bags or licensed Defendants to use  
6 the Compostable Mark.

7 17. Defendants' sale of the Infringing Bags is likely to cause confusion,  
8 mistake and deception among consumers such that purchasers of the Infringing Bags  
9 are likely to be confused as to the existence of an association, connection or  
10 relationship between BPI and Defendants.

11 18. Defendants have acted with full knowledge of BPI's prior ownership  
12 and use of the registered Compostable Mark, and without BPI's authorization and  
13 consent. Upon information and belief, EcoVision Alternatives, LLC is a new name  
14 for a business previously known as Biosphere Alternatives, LLC ("Biosphere").  
15 Brandon Le was the Chief Executive Officer of Biosphere and Van Le was  
16 Biosphere's Chief Operating Officer. In January 2008, *[need to confirm date]* BPI  
17 became aware that Biosphere was making false statements and wrongfully using  
18 BPI's name on its website and in its advertising materials. In particular, Biosphere's  
19 website and advertising materials claimed that certain of its products were approved  
20 by BPI. However, those claims were false as BPI had never approved or certified  
21 any of Biosphere's products. BPI's Executive Director, Steven Mojo, contacted  
22 Brandon Le and demanded that Biosphere immediately stop using BPI's name and  
23 falsely representing that BPI had certified its products. Although Mr. Le agreed to  
24 comply with Mr. Mojo's demands, Biosphere continued to make its false statements.  
25 As a result, BPI's attorneys sent Mr. Le a cease and desist letter in February 2008.  
26 Mr. Le again agreed to comply with BPI's demands and removed the false  
27 statements from Biosphere's website. However, it appears that Mr. Le and the other  
28 Defendants are now continuing with this course of wrongful conduct under the

1 EcoVision business name. Indeed, Defendants' conduct is even more egregious  
2 because instead of merely using BPI's name, they are now using a counterfeit copy  
3 of BPI's registered trademark.

4 19. Defendants have acted willfully, in bad faith and with the intent to  
5 confuse and mislead the public and unfairly trade on the substantial and valuable  
6 goodwill encompassed in BPI's Compostable Mark to capitalize on BPI's highly  
7 respected reputation as a leader in environmentally friendly plastics certification.

8 20. BPI is in need of injunctive relief to bring an end to the irreparable  
9 harm caused by Defendants' sale of the Infringing Bags. Without an injunction,  
10 Defendants will undoubtedly continue to sell the Infringing Bags and cause  
11 additional confusion in the marketplace.

12 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

13 **FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT**

14 21. BPI repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in  
15 paragraphs 1-20 of the complaint as though fully set forth herein.

16 22. By the acts and omissions set forth above, Defendants have infringed  
17 and continue to infringe BPI's rights regarding BPI's federal trademark registration,  
18 in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1)(a). Defendants'  
19 conduct is likely to cause confusion, mistake and deception among the general  
20 purchasing public, and interfere with BPI's ability to use its mark to indicate a line  
21 of products that meet certain stringent standards and have been certified by BPI.

22 23. BPI has suffered, is suffering, and will continue to suffer irreparable  
23 injury for which BPI has no adequate remedy at law. BPI is therefore entitled to a  
24 preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringing conduct by  
25 Defendants.

26 24. Defendants have profited and are profiting from such infringement and  
27 BPI has been and is being damaged by such infringement. BPI is therefore entitled  
28

EISENBERG | RAYZMAN | THURSTON | & WONG LLP  
10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1 to recover damages from Defendants in an amount to be proved at trial as a  
2 consequence of Defendants' infringing activities.

3 25. Defendants' aforesaid infringing conduct has been willful, wanton and  
4 malicious and done with an intent to deceive. BPI is therefore entitled to an award  
5 of its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and treble its actual damages, pursuant to  
6 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a). BPI is also entitled to, among other things, the cost of  
7 corrective advertising.

8 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

9 **COUNTERFEITING UNDER FEDERAL LAW**

10 26. BPI repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in  
11 paragraphs 1-25 of the complaint as though fully set forth herein.

12 27. By the acts and omissions set forth above, Defendants have infringed  
13 and continue to infringe BPI's rights regarding BPI's federal trademark registration,  
14 in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1)(b). Defendants  
15 have counterfeited BPI's mark by using an identical reproduction of the  
16 Compostable Mark on their products. Such conduct is likely to cause confusion,  
17 mistake and deception among the general public.

18 28. BPI has suffered, is suffering, and will continue to suffer irreparable  
19 injury for which BPI has no adequate remedy at law. BPI is therefore entitled to a  
20 preliminary and permanent injunction against further counterfeiting by Defendants.

21 29. Defendants have profited and are profiting from such counterfeiting  
22 and BPI has been and is being damaged by such counterfeiting. BPI is therefore  
23 entitled to recover damages from Defendants in an amount to be proved at trial as a  
24 consequence of Defendants' infringing activities. Alternatively, BPI is entitled to  
25 recover statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c) in the minimum amount  
26 of \$1,000,000.

27 30. Defendants' aforesaid infringing conduct has been willful, wanton and  
28 malicious and done with an intent to deceive. BPI is therefore entitled to an award

EISENBERG | RAIZMAN | THURSTON | & WONG LLP  
10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1 of its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and treble its actual damages, pursuant to  
2 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b). BPI is also entitled to, among other things, the cost of  
3 corrective advertising.

4 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

5 **TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT UNDER STATE LAW**

6 **[CALIFORNIA BUS. & PROF. CODE § 14320]**

7 31. BPI repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in  
8 paragraphs 1-30 of the complaint as though fully set forth herein.

9 32. By the acts and omissions set forth above, Defendants have infringed  
10 and continue to infringe BPI's rights regarding BPI's federal trademark  
11 registrations, in violation of California Business and Professions Code §§ 14320 and  
12 14330. Defendants' conduct is likely to cause confusion, mistake and deception  
13 among the general purchasing public, and interfere with BPI's ability to use its mark  
14 indicate a line of products that meet certain stringent standards.

15 33. BPI has suffered, is suffering, and will continue to suffer irreparable  
16 injury for which BPI has no adequate remedy at law. BPI is therefore entitled to a  
17 preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringing conduct by  
18 Defendants.

19 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

20 **TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT UNDER STATE LAW**

21 **[CALIFORNIA COMMON LAW]**

22 34. BPI repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in  
23 paragraph 1-33 of the complaint as though fully set forth herein.

24 35. Defendants have, without authorization from BPI, used the  
25 Compostable Mark to advertise, distribute, sell and offer to sell plastic bags and  
26 other products such as utensils, cups and food containers.

27 36. Defendants' acts as alleged herein are likely to cause confusion,  
28 mistake, and deception to consumers as to the affiliation, connection, or association

EISENBERG | RAIZMAN | THURSTON | & WONG LLP  
10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1 of Defendants' products with BPI, and as to sponsorship or approval of Defendants'  
2 goods by BPI.

3 37. Defendants' unauthorized acts constitute direct infringements of BPI  
4 federal trademarks in violation of California common law.

5 38. On information and belief, Defendants' conduct is intentional,  
6 malicious, and wanton in that Defendants infringed and continue to infringe BPI's  
7 federal trademark (i) with full knowledge that BPI owns and has the exclusive right  
8 to use its federal trademark and (ii) with the intention of causing a likelihood of  
9 confusion and mistake and to deceive. Further, Defendants had notice from BPI to  
10 cease their infringing activities.

11 39. BPI has suffered, is suffering, and will continue to suffer irreparable  
12 injury for which BPI has no adequate remedy at law. BPI is therefore entitled to a  
13 preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringing conduct by  
14 Defendants.

15 **FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

16 **UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER STATE LAW**

17 **[CALIFORNIA BUS. & PROF. CODE §17200 and §17203]**

18 40. BPI repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in  
19 paragraphs 1-39 of the complaint as though fully set forth herein.

20 41. The Compostable Mark is wholly associated with BPI due to its  
21 extensive use therefore, and as such, BPI is deserving of having its mark adequately  
22 protected with respect to the conduct of its business.

23 42. Defendants' wrongful conduct as alleged herein constitutes unfair  
24 competition and unfair business practices.

25 43. Based on the wrongful, unlawful, fraudulent and unfair acts described  
26 herein, Defendants are in violation of California Business and Professions Code  
27 Sections 17200 and 17203.  
28

EISENBERG | TRAZMAN | THURSTON | & WONG LLP  
10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1 44. BPI has suffered, is suffering, and will continue to suffer irreparable  
2 harm and injury for which BPI has no adequate remedy at law. BPI is therefore  
3 entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further unfair conduct by  
4 Defendants.

5 45. Defendants have profited, and are profiting, from such unfair conduct.

6 46. BPI is therefore entitled to recover restitution in the form of  
7 Defendants' profits, in an amount to be proved at trial, as a consequence of  
8 Defendants' wrongful activities.

9 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

10 WHEREFORE, BPI prays for judgment that:

11 1. Brandon Le, Stanley Wells, and Van Le and their agents, servants,  
12 distributors, affiliates, employees, attorneys and representatives, and all those in  
13 privity or acting in concert with them, or on their behalf, be preliminarily and  
14 permanently enjoined and restrained from, directly or indirectly:

15 a. Using the Compostable Mark, or any other mark similar thereto,  
16 alone or in combination with other words, names, styles, titles, designs  
17 or marks in connection with the manufacture, distribution, sale,  
18 advertising, marketing and promotion of any plastic bags, plates,  
19 utensils, cups and food containers;

20 b. Using in any other way any other mark or designation so similar  
21 to the Compostable Mark as to be likely to cause confusion, mistake or  
22 deception;

23 c. Falsely designating the sponsorship or affiliation of their  
24 products;

25 d. Continuing to perform in any manner whatsoever any of the acts  
26 complained of in this complaint.

27 2. Brandon Le, Stanley Wells, and Van Le be ordered to pay to BPI the  
28 compensatory damages sustained by BPI in consequence of the unlawful acts

EISENBERG | RAIZMAN | THURSTON | & WONG LLP  
10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1 alleged herein, and that such damages be trebled pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117  
2 because of the willful and unlawful acts as alleged herein. Alternatively, Brandon  
3 Le, Stanley Wells, and Van Le be ordered to pay to BPI statutory damages pursuant  
4 to 15 U.S.C. 1117(c) in the minimum amount of \$1,000,000.

5 3. Brandon Le, Stanley Wells, and Van Le be ordered to pay BPI punitive  
6 damages as a consequence of the willful and wanton acts alleged herein.

7 4. Brandon Le, Stanley Wells, and Van Le be required to account for and  
8 pay over to BPI all gains, profits and advantages derived by them from the unlawful  
9 activities alleged herein, and/or as a result of unjust enrichment.

10 5. Brandon Le, Stanley Wells, and Van Le be required to deliver up for  
11 destruction all products, including but no limited to all plastic bags, plates, utensils,  
12 cups and food containers, signs, advertisements, shopping bags, packaging,  
13 brochures, promotional materials, labels, stickers and any other written materials  
14 that bear the aforesaid infringing Compostable Mark, or that bear any statement  
15 indicating approval or certification from BPI, together with all plates, molds,  
16 matrices and other means and materials for making or reproducing the same.

17 6. Brandon Le, Stanley Wells, and Van Le be required to pay to BPI all of  
18 their litigation expenses, including but not limited to reasonable attorney's fees and  
19 the costs of this action.

20 7. Brandon Le, Stanley Wells, and Van Le pay BPI' costs of corrective  
21 advertising.


22 \ \ \  
23 \ \ \  
24 \ \ \  
25 \ \ \  
26 \ \ \  
27 \ \ \  
28 \ \ \

1 8. BPI be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem  
2 just and proper.

3 Dated: June 4, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

4  
5 **EISENBERG RAIZMAN THURSTON & WONG LLP**  
6 Adam J. Thurston  
7 Melissa B. Bonfiglio

8  
9 By:   
10 Melissa B. Bonfiglio  
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
12 The Biodegradable Products Institute  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**EISENBERG | RAIZMAN | THURSTON | & WONG LLP**  
10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

As provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and by Rule 38-1 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, The Biodegradable Products Institute hereby demands a trial by jury for all issues so triable.

Dated: June 4, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

**EISENBERG RAIZMAN THURSTON & WONG LLP**  
Adam J. Thurston  
Melissa B. Bonfiglio

By: Melissa B. Bonfiglio  
Melissa B. Bonfiglio  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
The Biodegradable Products Institute

**EISENBERG | RAIZMAN | THURSTON | & WONG LLP**  
10880 Wilshire Blvd, Eleventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90024

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



HOME ENVIRONMENT PRODUCTS ABOUT CONTACT

## Protecting Our Environment

Every day, new reports remind us that we need to take action to protect our environment. At EcoVision Alternatives, we're passionate about staying abreast of the issues, and we believe we can change the world by creating awareness.

So browse some of these facts and reports to see why we believe in offering alternatives that enable each of us to use renewable resources and to decrease our impact on land, air, and water.



### EcoVision Service I'

Corn-starch products ar

100% biode

Compostabl yard waste,


FDA approv

BPI certifiec

## Quick Facts

### Polystyrene Foam

- Billions of polystyrene foam (Styrofoam) foodware containers enter our landfills each year.
- Polystyrene foam is made from oil, a non-renewable, non-biodegradable, and non-recyclable resource.
- Styrofoam containers end up in landfills, waterways, and oceans.
- Medical studies suggest that chemicals in



polystyrene foam can cause cancer and they can leach into food and drinks.

### Plastic Bags

- The US consumes over 380 billion plastic bags, sacks, and wraps each year, according to the US Environmental Protection Agency.
- About 500 billion to 1 trillion plastic bags are consumed worldwide each year.
- In 100 years, a plastic bag will still be intact in a landfill.
- Hundreds of thousands of sea turtles, whales, and other marine mammals die each year from eating discarded plastic bags that they mistake for food.
- Plastic bags are among the 12 items of debris most often found in coastal clean-ups, according to the Center for Marine Conservation.



© 2008 EcoVision Alternatives, LLC | Design by: Traction Systems, LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY**

This case has been assigned to District Judge Florence-Marie Cooper and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Victor B. Kenton.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

**CV08- 3661 FMC (VBKx)**

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

=====:

**NOTICE TO COUNSEL**

*A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).*

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division  
312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division  
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053  
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division  
3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134  
Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

**ORIGINAL**

AO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

**United States District Court**

Central District of California

THE BIODEGRADABLE PRODUCTS INSTITUTE, a  
Delaware corporation

**SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE**

V.

CASE NUMBER:

BRANDON LE, an individual; STANLEY WELLS, an  
individual; VAN LE, an individual; and DOES  
1-10, inclusive

**CV08-03661 FMC**

**VBK**

**TO:** (Name and address of Defendant)

BRANDON LE, 433 Airport Blvd., Suite 318, Burlingame, CA 94010;  
STANLEY WELLS, 433 Airport Blvd., Suite 318, Burlingame, CA 94010;  
VAN LE, 433 Airport Blvd., Suite 318, Burlingame, CA 94010; and DOES 1-10,  
inclusive.

**YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED** and required to serve on PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

Adam J. Thurston (SBN 162636)  
Melissa Bonfiglio (SBN 223172)  
EISENBERG RAIZMAN THURSTON & WONG LLP  
10880 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1101,  
Los Angeles, California 90024  
Phone: (310) 445-4400 Fax: (310) 445-4410  
Email: athurston@ertwllp.com; mbonfiglio@ertwllp.com

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within twenty (20) days after  
service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken  
against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be  
filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

**SHERRI R. CARTER**

**JUN - 4 2008**

CLERK

DATE

*Natalie Gongora*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(By) DEPUTY CLERK

AO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

**RETURN OF SERVICE**

Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me <sup>(1)</sup>	DATE
NAME OF SERVER ( <i>PRINT</i> )	TITLE

*Check one box below to indicate appropriate method of service*

- Served personally upon the defendant. Place where served: \_\_\_\_\_
  
- Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein.  
Name of person with whom the summons and complaint were left: \_\_\_\_\_
  
- Returned unexecuted: \_\_\_\_\_
  
- Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES**

TRAVEL	SERVICES	TOTAL

**DECLARATION OF SERVER**

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.

Executed on \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ *Signature of Server* \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Address of Server*

(1) As to who may serve a summons see Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
CIVIL COVER SHEET

**I (a) PLAINTIFFS** (Check box if you are representing yourself )  
**THE BIODEGRADABLE PRODUCTS INSTITUTE, a**  
 Delaware corporation

**(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (Except in U.S. Plaintiff Cases):**

**(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.)**  
 Adam J. Thurston (SBN 162636)  
 Melissa Bonfiglio (SBN 223172)  
 EISENBERG RAIZMAN THURSTON & WONG LLP  
 10880 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1101  
 Los Angeles, CA 90024  
 Phone (310) 445-4400 Fax (310) 445-4410

**DEFENDANTS**  
 BRANDON LE, an individual; STANLEY WELLS, an individual; VAN LE, an individual; and DOES 1-10, inclusive

**County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. Plaintiff Cases Only):**

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an X in one box only.)

1 U.S. Government Plaintiff  3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)

2 U.S. Government Defendant  4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only** (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)

Citizen of This State	PTF <input type="checkbox"/> 1	DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State	PTF <input type="checkbox"/> 4	DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

**IV. ORIGIN** (Place an X in one box only.)

1 Original Proceeding  2 Removed from State Court  3 Remanded from Appellate Court  4 Reinstated or Reopened  5 Transferred from another district (specify):  6 Multi-District Litigation  7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judge

**V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND:**  Yes  No (Check "Yes" only if demanded in complaint.)

**CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23:**  Yes  No

**MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$ 1,000,000.00**

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION** (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)

**VII. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an X in one box only.)

<p><b>OTHER STATUTES</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commercial/CC Rates/etc</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 880 Other Statutory Actions</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 881 Agricultural Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Info. Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</p>	<p><b>CONTRACT</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise</p> <p><b>REAL PROPERTY</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property</p>	<p><b>TORTS</b></p> <p><b>PERSONAL INJURY</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel &amp; Slander</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 330 Fed. Employers' Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury-Med Malpractice</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury-Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability</p>	<p><b>TORTS</b></p> <p><b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability</p> <p><b>BANKRUPTCY</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157</p> <p><b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 445 American with Disabilities - Employment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 446 American with Disabilities - Other</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights</p>	<p><b>PRISONER PETITIONS</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 530 General</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus/Other</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition</p> <p><b>FORFEITURE/PENALTY</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food &amp; Drug</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. &amp; Truck</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 680 Other</p>	<p><b>LABOR</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Disclosure Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 780 Other Labor Litig.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act</p> <p><b>PROPER RIGHTS</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark</p> <p><b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DWW (405(g))</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 884 SSID Title XVI</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 885 RSI (406(g))</p> <p><b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS - Third Party 28 USC 7609</p>
--	---	---	--	--	--

**VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES:** Has this action been previously filed and dismissed, remanded or closed?  No  Yes

If yes, list case number(s):

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:** Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
CIVIL COVER SHEET

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed that are related to the present case?  No  Yes

If yes, list case number(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:

- (Check all boxes that apply)  A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or  
 B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or  
 C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or  
 D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.

IX. VENUE: List the California County, or State if other than California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary)

Check here if the U.S. government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff.

New York

List the California County, or State if other than California, in which EACH named defendant resides. (Use an additional sheet if necessary).

Check here if the U.S. government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant.

Upon information and belief, all defendants reside in San Mateo County

List the California County, or State if other than California, in which EACH claim arose. (Use an additional sheet if necessary)

Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.

Los Angeles, California

X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER): Melissa Bonfiglio Date June 4, 2008

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))